Northwest Florida State College

Memo

To:

Board of Trustees

From: Dr. Devin Stephenson

Date: February 12, 2019

Re:

Purchasing Contracts:

- 1. RFP 2018-2019-01 Evaluation Committee Recommendation Grant Evaluator
- 2. ITB 2018-2019-02 Invasive Plant Treatment on Coastal Dune Lakes in Walton County
- 1. Sealed proposals for RFP 2018-2019-01 Evaluation Committee Recommendation Grant Evaluator were opened on Thursday, January 24, 2019 at 2 p.m. The following proposals were received:
 - Community Evaluation Solutions, Inc.
 - E.B. Howard Consulting
 - Miller & Associates

- Shaffer Evaluation Group
- · Suntek Industries, Inc.
- WestEd

Based on the analysis of the bids, the committee concluded that Miller & Associates meets bid specifications based on their experience with Title III grants, past performance with grant applications, fees, and references.

- 2. Sealed proposals for ITB 2018-2019-02 Invasive Plant Treatment on Coastal Dune Lakes in Walton County were opened on Monday, January 28, 2019 at 2 p.m. The following proposals were received:
 - 7C's Services, Inc.

Based on the analysis of the bids, the committee concluded that 7C's Services, Inc. meets bid specifications based on their 20+ years' experience in aquatic plant treatment along with maintaining the necessary insurance and licenses. They have a good understanding of the project and are under budgeted cost.

RECOMMENDATION: The NWF State College Board of Trustees approves the both Purchasing contracts: RFP 2018-2019-01 Evaluation Committee Recommendation Grant Evaluator and ITB 2018-2019-02 Invasive Plant Treatment on Coastal Dune Lakes in Walton County, as presented.

Daisa		
	02/12/2019	
President	Date	

Evaluation Matrix

Reviewer	Community Evaluation Solutions	E.B. Howard	Miller & Associates	Shaffer Evaluation Group	Suntek Industries	WestEd
Reviewer 1	7	10	16	12	7	13
Reviewer 2	15	19	20	17	15	19
Reviewer 3	11	11	19	18	11	15
Average Rating	11	13.3	18.3	15.7	11	15.7

Community Evaluation Solutions

		Reviewer 1	Reviewer 2	Reviewer 3
Criterion	Weight	Rating	Rating	Rating
Technical knowledge, expertise and				
experience	25%	2	4	4
Demonstrated past performance -				
Demonstrates successful past performance				
with community colleges; experience with				
similar size instittutions; experience with				
similar projects	35%	0	4	2
Fee/Cost Competitiveness of fees	25%	3	3	2
References	15%	2	4	3

E.B. Howard

		Reviewer 1	Reviewer 2	Reviewer 3
Criterion	Weight	Rating	Rating	Rating

Technical knowledge, expertise and				
experience	25%	3	5	3
Demonstrated past performance -				
Demonstrates successful past performance				
with community colleges; experience with				
similar size instittutions; experience with				
similar projects	35%	2	5	2
Fee/Cost Competitiveness of fees	25%	2	4	2
References	15%	3	5	4

Miller & Associates

		Reviewer 1	Reviewer 2	Reviewer 3
Criterion	Weight	Rating	Rating	Rating
Technical knowledge, expertise and				
experience	25%	4	5	5
Demonstrated past performance - Demonstrates successful past performance with community colleges; experience with similar size instittutions; experience with				
similar projects	35%	5	5	5
Fee/Cost Competitiveness of fees	25%	3	5	4
References	15%	4	5	5

Shaffer Evaluation Group

		Reviewer 1	Reviewer 2	Reviewer 3
Criterion	Weight	Rating	Rating	Rating
Technical knowledge, expertise and				
experience	25%	3	5	5

Demonstrated past performance -				
Demonstrates successful past performance				
with community colleges; experience with			10	
similar size instittutions; experience with				
similar projects	35%	5	5	5
Fee/Cost Competitiveness of fees	25%	2	3	3
References	15%	2	4	5

Suntek Industries

		Reviewer 1	Reviewer 2	Reviewer 3
Criterion	Weight	Rating	Rating	Rating
Technical knowledge, expertise and				
experience	25%	1	3	2
Demonstrated past performance -				
Demonstrates successful past performance				
with community colleges; experience with				
similar size instittutions; experience with				
similar projects	35%	2	4	2
Fee/Cost Competitiveness of fees	25%	1	4	2
References	15%	3	4	5

WestEd

		Reviewer 1	Reviewer 2	Reviewer 3
Criterion	Weight	Rating	Rating	Rating
Technical knowledge, expertise and				
experience	25%	5	5	4
Demonstrated past performance -				
Demonstrates successful past performance				
with community colleges; experience with				
similar size instittutions; experience with				
similar projects	35%	3	5	4

Fee/Cost Competitiveness of fees	25%	3	4	2
References	15%	2	5	5