

## RFP 2018-2019-01 - Grant Evaluator

Final Tally - Score Sheet

## **Evaluation Matrix**

	WestEd	Howard D. Walters	Shaffer Evaluation Group	Thomas Miller & Associates
Reviewer 1	80	45	67	80
Reviewer 2	100	30	65	57
Reviewer 3	98	99	100	97
Reviewer 4	86	92	85	94
Total Points	364	266	317	328

WestEd					
Atlanta, GA		Reviewer 1	Reviewer 2	Reviewer 3	Reviewer 4
Criterion	Weight	Points	Points	Points	Points
Technical knowledge, expertise and experience	25%	20	25	25	18
Demonstrated past performance -Demonstrates					
successful past performance with community					
colleges; experience with similar size institutions;					
experience with similar projects	35%	28	35	34	30
Fee/Cost Competitiveness of fees	25%	20	25	24	25
References	15%	12	15	15	13
Howard D. Walters					

Howard D. Waiters					
Ashland, OH					
		Reviewer 1	Reviewer 2	Reviewer 3	Reviewer 3
Criterion	Weight	Points	Points	Points	Points
Technical knowledge, expertise and experience	25%	10	10	25	24
Demonstrated past performance -Demonstrates					
successful past performance with community					
colleges; experience with similar size institutions;					
experience with similar projects	35%	14	14	35	34
Fee/Cost Competitiveness of fees	25%	15	0	24	23
References	15%	6	6	15	11
	•	•	•	•	
Shaffer Evaluation Group					

Williamsburg, VA		Reviewer 1	Reviewer 2	Reviewer 3	Reviewer 3
Criterion	Weight	Points	Points	Points	Points
Technical knowledge, expertise and experience	25%	15	20	25	19
Demonstrated past performance -Demonstrates					
successful past performance with community					
colleges; experience with similar size institutions;					
experience with similar projects	35%	21	14	35	27
Fee/Cost Competitiveness of fees	25%	22	25	25	24
References	15%	9	6	15	15
Thomas Miller & Associates					
Indianapolis, IN		Reviewer 1	Reviewer 2	Reviewer 3	Reviewer 3
Criterion	Weight	Points	Points	Points	Points
Technical knowledge, expertise and experience	25%	20	20	25	24
Demonstrated past performance -Demonstrates					
successful past performance with community					
colleges; experience with similar size institutions;					
experience with similar projects	35%	30	28	34	33
Fee/Cost Competitiveness of fees	25%	15	0	23	22
References	15%	15	9	15	15